

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/314404414>

# Efficient Water Management: Way Forward to Climate Smart Grain Legumes Production

Article in SSRN Electronic Journal · January 2014

DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.2510911

---

CITATIONS

3

---

READS

56

3 authors:



**Anil Kumar Singh**

ICAR Research Complex for Eastern Region

371 PUBLICATIONS 2,772 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**K. M. Singh**

Dr Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University Pusa

482 PUBLICATIONS 2,168 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Bhagwati Prasad Bhatt**

NRM Division, KAB-II, Pusa, New Delhi-110012

553 PUBLICATIONS 5,345 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

# Efficient water management: way forward to climate smart grain legumes production

Anil Kumar Singh, KM Singh<sup>1</sup> and BP Bhatt  
ICAR Research Complex for Eastern Region,  
P.O. Bihar Veterinary College, Patna 800 014 Bihar

## Abstract

The most important way to increase the grain yield of food legumes per unit area under stress environment should be consist of proper technological backup with infrastructure, timely availability of quality inputs along with policy support. Efficient water management is one of the critical inputs as in general perception is that legumes need no supplementary water, whereas research finding revealed that need based watering at critical stages are capable to improved production by 15-25 % depending up how much stress has already being faced by the standing crop till now.

**Keywords:** climate change, legumes, water management, food security

## 1. Introduction

Legumes are the basic ingredient in the diets of a vast majority of the Indian population, as they provide a perfect mix of vegetarian protein component of high biological value when supplemented with cereals (Andrews and Hodge, 2010 ; Ali and Gupta, 2012). Grain legumes are not only important sources of proteins but also offer vitamins and minerals, popularly known as “Poor man’s meat” and “rich men vegetable”. For an active normal body grain legume requirement is about 40 g per day or 14.6 kg per person per year (Narasinga Rao, 2010). Data clearly indicated that availability and intake decrease with the period of time, which was 60.5 g/day during 1950-51 and 31.6 g during 2010-11 (GoI, 2012). Since republic (1950) productivity of legume has been increased by 0.56 times respectively. Area production and productivity were registers incremental growth with the time at all India levels, though fluctuations were noticed in case of all the parameters. At All Indian levels remarkable, 30 per cent, growth in area under grain legume (26.28 Mha) was notice during 2010-11.

### 1.1 Important grain legume crops of India

As rainfed crops, legumes are mostly mixed or intercropped with cereals or long-season and widely-spaced crops. Cropping systems research has identified - compatible crops for intercropping and the optimum proportions and spatial arrangements that yield maximum intercropping advantage.

---

<sup>1</sup> Professor and Chairman, Department of Agricultural Economics, Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa, Bihar, India.  
Email: [m.krishna.singh@gmail.com](mailto:m.krishna.singh@gmail.com)

Chickpea or gram (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and pigeonpea or "tur" (*Cajanus cajan*) which together account for 61 per cent of the total pulse production, are the principal grain legumes; chickpeas are grown in the post-rainy season (Oct.—March) and pigeonpeas are planted in the rainy season (June–Oct.). Other legumes grown exclusively in the post-rainy season are lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medic), "khesari" or grasspea (*Lathyrus sativus* L.) and peas (*Pisum sativum* L.). Mungbean or green gram (*Vigna radiata*) "urd" or black gram (*Vigna mungo*) and cowpea (*Vigna sinensis*) are grown in both seasons but the post-rainy season crop is possible only in the warmer parts of the country. Where irrigation is available these can also be grown in the summer. Other grain legumes grown in the rainy season with limited regional importance are field beans (*Lablab purpureus* L.) Sweet moth bean (*Vigna acantifolia*), cluster beans (*Cyamopsis tetragonolobus*) and soybean (*Glycine max*). Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) is the major oil seed legume grown in both seasons but in the post-rainy season the crop is mostly confined to the irrigated areas of peninsular India.

### **1.2 Agricultural productivity**

Irrigated area produce 2.5 to 3.5 t/ ha, whereas in case of rainfed it is still ranged in between 0.8 to 1.0 /ha. Is it not a self-explanatory to focus on the important of efficient water management in agriculture production? Foregone narration is enough to indicate the priorities and possibilities for water management in legumes.

### **1.3 Importance of climate and legume options**

Only a limited number of crops are adapted to the climatic conditions and the farmer must sow the crop best suited to the moisture conditions encountered at that time. Success with rigid or complex sequences is difficult in the face of widely varying rainfall. To achieve the optimum production potential under different abiotic and biotic stress condition, selecting crops and their varieties based on criteria described in this presentation would certainly boost crop production efficiently in India (Singh and Kumar, 2009). Studies on climate change have underscored two points; first that atmospheric commons, namely the earth's carbon absorbing capacity, is finite and depletable and that growth of GHG emissions, even at their present level pose a threat to humankind (Singh et al., 2013). Carbon pollution is causing the world's climate to change not only on the magnitude of the change but also on the potential for irreversibility, resulting in extreme weather, higher temperatures and more droughts. Our earth is undoubtedly warming. This warming is largely the result of emissions of carbon dioxide and other Greenhouse Gases (GHG's) from human activities including industrial processes, fossil fuel combustion, and changes in land use, such as deforestation etc. Day by day the cycle of climate on earth is changing. Global warming has led to season shifting, changing landscapes, rising sea levels, increased risk of drought and floods, stronger storms, increase in heat related illness and diseases all over the world. This has resulted due to emissions of Green House Gases (GHG's) from various anthropogenic activities (IPCC, 2007). An increase of temperature by 1°C it would be equivalent to a 150 km Northward shift of isotherms (lines joining places with similar

temperature) or about 150 m lower altitude. There is a 5 per cent decrease in rice yield of every °C rise in temperature above 32 °C (IPCC, 2007 and Salih and Hardallou, 1986). According to recent report by Inter Governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) by 2100 AD, due to global warming the average global surface temperature is projected to increase by 1.1 to 4.0 °C above 1990 levels for low emission scenario of greenhouse gas (GHG). The furthestmost upsetting portion of the forecast is the estimated increase in winter time and summertime temperatures by 3.2°C and 2.2°C respectively, by 2050 (Wani et al., 2003). Such uncharacteristic rises surely have an adverse impact on pulse production in the form of a reduction in total crop-cycle duration. Grain legumes like mung bean and urd bean are short-duration crops (65-75 days). Further reduction in crop duration will amount to a lower yield per unit area (Singh et al., 2012a). However inclusion of summer pulse/legumes particularly short duration mung bean under irrigated condition (Singh et al., 2012a). Inclusion of grain legume in cropping system as intercrop particularly crop with wide space like sorghum, maize sugarcane etc. will certainly instruments stability in respect to oilseeds and especially grain legume production and will bound to prosperity in this region (Singh and Kumar, 2009).

## **2. Why water management is so important in grain legume production?**

Poor soil and agro-climatic conditions not only compel late sowing of winter legumes, which leads to reduced length of growing period but also necessitate to sustain cold injuries at early vegetative phase which freeze all biological activities for prolonged period. A sudden rises in temperature after that, not only induces forced maturity but simultaneously invites several biotic stress viz., diseases and insects pests (Ali *et al.*, 2012; Reddy, 2009 and Singh and Singh, 2008). Traditionally winter legumes sowing is delayed up to last week of November and some time under extreme circumstances it goes up to the first fortnight of December, obviously due to reasons already explained. However, optimum sowing time of winter legumes is first fortnight of October (Singh *et al.*, 2013 and Ramakrishna *et al.*, 2000). Consequent upon delayed planting, early encounter with severe cold, growth and development of winter legumes crop gets hampered for a considerable period. Subsequently plants get comparatively less time to complete their lifecycle which, by and large forces maturity (Ramakrishna *et al.*, 2000). In Indian IGP, normal sown winter legumes is a medium duration (130-150 days) crop, while under late sown conditions it is forced to complete its life cycle in 105±5 days (Joshi, 1998; Ramakrishna *et al.*, 2000; Reddy, 2009; Singh and Singh, 2008 and Singh *et al.*, 2012). Typically, a late sown winter legume undergoes three distinct phases and considerable degrees of phenological modifications are bound to happen. Eventually, winter legumes crop during its early seedling phase grows slowly due to its energy invested in the initial establishment (Singh *et al.*, 2002 and Singh *et al.*, 2012). However, in mid-phase, insignificant growth and development is observed. This poses serious threat to realization of full yield potential due to cold injuries. This phase is very important for creating source of channelizing the energy at later stage. In the last and most

important phase winter legumes faces heat injury, resulting in early onset of reproductive phase, causing imbalance in resources and inputs, biotic stress and forced maturity (Joshi, 1998; Dixit *et al.* 2009; Reid *et al.*, 2011 and Singh *et al.*, 2012). To improve the winter legumes production under late sown conditions of Indian IGP, critical examination of situation revealed that, interventions to boost vegetative growth during early and mid-phase of life, to create base / source is the basic necessity that can be achieved by accelerated vegetative growth, and finally unilateral translocation of photosynthate to sink during reproductive stage (Guilfoyle and Hagen, 2001 and Pandey and Gautam, 2009) are therefore essential.

### 3. Crops and cropping pattern

Moisture is basic necessity for existence of any form of life including agriculture. Crops and cropping pattern is interdependence and interchangeable largely influenced by growing period of particular region which is again an outcome of soil and climatic considerations. An attempt has been made to quantify the agricultural / cropping activities based on length of growing period basically depends on availability of water/ moisture to support successful crop production (Table 1).

**Table 1: Choice of cropping pattern for different growing periods**

Length of growing period	Efficient cropping system
<75 days	Perennial vegetation Monocropping of short duration pulses
75-140 days	Monocropping
140-180 days	Intercropping
> 180 days	Double cropping

### 4. Criteria for selecting crop for water scanty situation

Selection of crop /species based on their tolerance to dry spell/condition, temperature salinity etc. Following crops mentioned in table 2 may be selected as per their tolerance power (degree of tolerance) to environmental abiotic stress and of course requirement. Crop succeeds under series of event, interaction with surrounding environment particularly with soil, water and weather conditions upon which biotic stress is buildup (Andrew and Hodge, 2010). One should select crops for their agroclimatic situation based on consideration mentioned elsewhere in this article. Survival of fittest and adoption to the extreme condition is two widely accepted theories in this modern biological system but in real situation both are seen in combination because nature is great leveler in one or other respects for coexistence of above said theories partially in agricultural production system some crops requires more water where as some need comparatively less than others (Loss, 1997). Economic plants which are more concern in agricultural systems are annual, biennial or some perennial herbs, based on agro-ecological conditions and availability of water crops are simply divided in to two category water loving plants (hydrophytes, cryophytes etc.) and some of them having less affinity to water are (sandophytes). Based on experience gained due to experimentations and evolves from

centuries lists of crop are given in the table 3 which needs less water than others. Crops are also categorized based on their relative degree of tolerance to the limited water. Generally grasses and beans are hardy in nature and considered more tolerant than others.

**Table 2: Legume crops for limited water supply**

Scientific Name	Common Name	Degree of Tolerance*
<i>Leucaena leucacephala</i>	Leucaena	2.0
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	Common Bean	1
<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	Cowpea	1.5
<i>Cajanus cajan</i>	Pigeon Pea	2.0
<i>Dolichos lablab</i>	Lablab Bean	2.5
<i>Vigna radiata</i>	Mung Bean	2.0
<i>Phaseolus acutifolius</i>	Tepary Bean	2.5
<i>Vigna aconitifolius</i>	Mat Bean	2.5
<i>Tylosema esculentum</i>	Marama Bean	3.0

Rated from 0 (no tolerance) to 3 (high tolerance)

### **5.1 Growing season and rainfall requirements:**

Under limited irrigation condition, duration of crops and their total water requirement and breakup of requirement is very much essential. According to availability of scanty water one should select crops as per in table 3 and 4. List may be endless but some of most widely grown and used only are listed with respect to days taken to complete vegetative phase and post vegetative(reproductive) phase for long duration (late maturing) and se well as short duration (early maturing) genotypes.

### **5.2 Soil Consideration:**

Before selecting particular crops and their specific variety we must considered the type of soil, depth of soil, fertility status, salt tolerant capacity, pH of the soil and minimum depth of ground water along with the capacity of tolerance to short periods of water logging and moisture stress. According to above consideration, requirements for different principle crops are laid down in table 4.

**Table 3: Growing season and total water requirements for selected drought tolerant crops**

Crops Name	Number of Days					Rainfall after Sowing				Rainfall in Month of Flowering	
	From sowing to 50% flowering		From sowing to harvest		(Sand) No moisture storage	Early	Maturing	Late	Maturing	(Sand) No moisture storage	(Sand) No moisture storage
	Early Maturing	Late Maturing	Early Maturing	Late Maturing			(Clay) Maximum Moisture Storage	(Sand) No moisture storage	(Clay) Maximum Moisture Storage		
Ground Nut	60	90	95-110	110-140	300 mm/ 3 months		125 mm/ 3 months	500 mm/ 4 months	300 mm/ 4 months	60 mm/ 10 days	30 mm/ 10 days
Chick Pea	---	---	120	180	200-300 mm/ 3 months		0 mm/ 3 months	300-400 mm/ month	100 mm/ 4 months	25 mm/ 10 days	25 mm/ 10 days
Pige on Pea	100	210-300	150-180	270-360	500-1000 mm/ 6 months		300 mm/ 6 months	700-1300 mm/ 12 months	700-1300 mm/ 12 months	40 mm/ 10 days	50 mm/ 10 days

**Table 4: Soil requirements for selected drought tolerant crops**

Soil Characteristics		Legumes			
		Ground Nut	Pea	Gram	Cowpea
Texture	Heavy			√	
	Medium	√	√	√	√
	Light	√	√		√
	Deep (90+)				
Minimum rooting Depth (cm)	Med. (60-90)	√	√	√	√
	Shallow (30-60)				
Fertility	High				
	Medium	√	√	√	√
Salt Tolerance	Good				
	Moderate				
	Poor	√		√	√
pH Range		6.0-8.0	5.5-7.5	5.5-7.5	5.5-7.5
Tolerance to short periods of water logging		Low	Medium to Low	Medium to Low	Medium to Low
Minimum depth of ground water (cm)		60	30-50	30-50	40

## **6. Water management in grain legumes**

It is well known fact that three basic resources, viz. climate, soil and water, determine the nature of crops that can be grown successfully in a particular region (Singh and Kumar 2009). An efficient utilization of these resources is essential for optimum production of food for human consumption. Under a given set of environmental conditions production of crop is limited by the availability of nutrition and water. Soil provides anchorage for the plants and also serves as a reservoir of water and nutrients required by them. While chemical fertilizers supplement the low nutrient supplying capacity of the soil, there is no substitute of water for production of crops. Efficient management of water, a limited resource as it is, is of utmost importance for sustaining and increasing the production. Water, crucial to life and existence, is an important aspect in the cultivation (Singh, 2012). Drought stress has become the major limiting factor on plant growth and yield. Water deficit during the reproductive growth is considered to have the most adverse effect on crop productivity.

### **6.1 Water use efficiency in grain legumes**

Water use efficiency (Y/ET) is the outcome of an entire suite of plant and environmental processes operating over the life of a crop to determine both Y and ET. Consequently, biomass production per unit ET, has been used extensively as an interim measure of water use efficiency. ET comprises non-productive evaporation (E) of water from the soil surface and productive transpiration (T) of soil-stored water by the plant. Evaporation of free water from leaf surfaces adds to non-productive evaporation (interception evaporation) (Ali, 2008). Crop grown in a sunny and hot climate needs more water per day than the same crop grown in a cloudy and cooler climate. There are, however, apart from sunshine and temperature, other climatic factors which influence the crop water need. These factors are humidity and wind speed. When it is dry, the crop water needs are higher than when it is humid. In windy climates, the crops will use more water than in calm climates. The highest crop water needs are thus found in areas which are hot, dry, windy and sunny. The lowest values are found when it is cool, humid and cloudy with little or no wind. From the above, it is clear that the crop grown in different climatic zones will have different water needs and thus water use efficiency also varies accordingly (Ali, et al 2012). It was noticed by several researcher that dicot plants are more efficient than monocots, similarly C3 plant are performed better than C4 plants (Keller et al., 2000).

### **6.2 Irrigation Requirement:**

The crop requires total 4 -5 irrigations i.e. two irrigation at an interval of 7 days and three irrigations at an interval of 15 days. Legume plants with relatively high water requirements are very sensitive to soil drought as lesser rainfall during the vegetation season is one of the most important environmental factors limiting the crop yield. Irrigation is not recommended about 2 weeks after planting. Excess water at seeding slows growth and may increase root rots. During the rest of the season, moisture levels in the topsoil should be kept at or above 50% of available water. Critical stages at which water



stress should be avoided to the crop are two critical times during bloom and pod set. Soil type does not affect the amount of total water needed, but affect frequency of water application. Growth and development of legume crops in general is very sensitive to water stress. That sensitivity is a result of its maximum depth of rooting is relatively shallow, approximately 0.9 m (Hebblethwaite, 1977). An experiment conducted in Eastern Sudan reported more economic yields were when the crop was irrigated at 21-day intervals up to flowering and at 7-day intervals after the onset of flowering. The highest grain and total biological yields were obtained when irrigation was at intervals of 14/7 days (Farah et al., 1990). In a field experiment at loamy-sand soil in Saudi Arabia, it is reported that considerable grain yield of most of legumes seed can be achieved if irrigated at 15% soil moisture depletion from field capacity, which was not significantly different from the yield at field capacity (Naeem, 2008).

### **6.3 Critical growth stage for grain legumes**

Drought of different intensity is experienced in rainfed areas at various growth stages of legumes. Response to limited irrigation has been observed in most of the grain legumes. Among various crops, common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.; *French bean*) was found to be more responsive to irrigation followed by pea. The success of mung bean as a catch crop (during summer months) in the rice-wheat system is solely dependent upon adequate supply of irrigation plants (Keller et al., 2003). Latesown chickpea in sequence with rice also needs irrigation compared to the normal sown crop, probably due to restricted root growth with late sowing. Pre flowering or flower initiation and post podding has been found to be the most critical stage in most of the legumes. However, the initial soil profile moisture and soil types largely determine the requirement of subsequent irrigation. Similarly, excess moisture or water logging reduces oxygen concentration in the rhizosphere and thus affects BNF activity and nutrient availability with consequent yield reduction. Therefore, it is imperative to provide good drainage, especially in low-lying areas

## **7. Grain legume performance in response to water management**

Most of legumes is best adapted to the more moist agriculture areas and does best under relatively cool growing conditions. Hot, dry spells result in wilting of the plants and may reduce seed set. The crop should be grown with caution in the brown soil zones and on droughty, light-textured soils unless irrigation is available, as most of legumes respond very well to irrigation. Agronomy of irrigated most of legumes is similar to dry land production. Yields can be much higher than dry land production; however, special attention must be paid to prevent losses due to diseases, such as *botrytis* and *ascochyta*.

### **7.1 Grain yield**

Since, there is a certain correlation between dry matter production and grain yield in most of legumes crop; it appears that the maintenance of adequate levels of water throughout the vegetative growth of most of legumes is essential for high yields (Golezani et al., 2009). The most of legumes is regarded

as a drought-sensitive crop and the major factor restricting its cultivation is the high year-to-year yield variability usually due to drought stress. Water stress decreases the final leaf area, net photosynthesis, light use efficiency, pod retention and filling by reducing the availability of assimilates and distorting hormonal balance. Water limitations considerably reduces grain yield of cultivars, due to large reductions in growth, grain filling duration, grain weight and grains per plant. Superiority of well-watered (I1:70 mm evaporation from Class A pan) plants in growth and grain filling duration resulted in production of comparatively more and larger grains and consequently higher grain yield per unit area (Table 5).

**Table 5: Comparison of means of maximum grain weight, grain filling rate, grain filling duration, grains per plant and grain yield of three faba bean cultivars under different irrigation conditions.**

Treatment		Grain filling rate (mg d-1)	Grain filling duration (day)	Maximum grain weight (mg)	Grains per plant	Grain yield (gm-2)
<b>Irrigation</b>	I1	38.45a	43.16a	1400.0a	9.156a	493.4a
	I2	33.45a	38.69a	1214.5b	5.378b	264.4b
	I3	35.34a	30.00b	1116.9c	3.267c	157.0c
<b>Cultivar</b>	Aquodolce	35.46a	37.72a	1233.0b	5.322b	266.9b
	Barakat	35.41a	40.42a	1356.2a	7.411a	412.5a
	Saraziri	34.26a	33.88b	1141.7c	5.067b	235.4b

Source: Goelezani *et al.* 2009

Note: different letters in each column indicating significant difference at  $P < 0.05$ . I1, I2 and I3 denotes irrigation after 70, 100 and 130 mm evaporation from Class A pan respectively. Therefore, sufficient water supply during plant growth and development is necessary to ensure a satisfactory supply of assimilate to the grains via an extensive and long-lived foliage. Water shortage exerted a large adverse influence on dry matter accumulation, crop growth rate and relative growth rate of most of legumes cultivars (Goelezani *et al.*, 2009). Ageeb *et al.* (1989) reported that irrigation to most of legumes at 7-day interval increased seed yield and the number of plants/m<sup>2</sup>, while the number of pods/plant and 100-seed weight were decreased. Many studies have reported very substantial increases in seed yields as a result of proper irrigation; including studies in regions where rainfall is abundant throughout the growing season. Water requirements have not been determined, however, when growing most of legumes as a cover crop. Proper irrigation scheduling is expected to differ when the crop is grown as a cover crop compared with when it is grown for seed production. Stock and El-Naggar (1980) concluded that the optimum soil water content during flowering was at 40–60% of the available water and that either higher or lower water content resulted in sub optimal seed yields. Shuaibani, 2009 reported that water stress leads to significant decrease in number of days to flowering and maturity (Table 6). The highest reduction in seed yield was detected in T1 and T2 treatments (Table 7) which may be due to unfavorable conditions of plant growth as a result of lower water supply.

**Table 6: Influence of water deficit on some growth parameters of faba bean two growing seasons, (combined analyses of two seasons)**

Treatments		Parameters					
		Plant height (cm)	No. of leaves/plant	Leaf area per plant (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Plant weight (g)	No. of days to 50% flowering	No. of days to 50% of maturing
T1-Total applied water 2000m <sup>3</sup>		49.11	54.67	1222.67	978.3	44.63	123.25
T2-Total applied water 3000m <sup>3</sup>		51.75	44.33	1205.67	1132.3	46.13	124.38
T3-Total applied water 4000m <sup>3</sup>		62.58	105.33	2336.67	1325.3	46.38	125.13
T4-Total applied water 6000m <sup>3</sup>		76.10	112.67	2493.33	2176.10	45.50	130.38
T5-Total applied water 7000m <sup>3</sup>		82.16	112.98	2542.22	2706.9	45.25	137.5
T6-Total applied water 7500m <sup>3</sup>		85.25	111.67	2431.56	281.3	45.88	140.63
LSD at 0.05		7.00	5.42	44.53	343.35	0.98	2.25

Source: AL-Suhaibani N.A., 2009.

**Table 7: Influence of water deficit on some yield parameters of faba bean in two growing seasons, (combined analyses of two seasons)**

Treatments		Parameters				
		No. of tillers/plant	No. of pods/plant	Seed weight per plant (g)	100-seed weight (g)	Biological yield (t/ha)
T1-Total applied water 2000m <sup>3</sup>		5.11	9.41	508.3	72.66	3.23
T2-Total applied water 3000m <sup>3</sup>		5.94	8.95	553.3	82.99	3.74
T3-Total applied water 4000m <sup>3</sup>		6.05	11.79	612.1	87.44	4.37
T4-Total applied water 6000m <sup>3</sup>		6.61	13.60	902.5	86.10	7.18
T5-Total applied water 7000m <sup>3</sup>		7.19	15.29	1285.5	88.63	8.93
T6-Total applied water 7500m <sup>3</sup>		7.03	17.14	1210.1	93.21	8.52
LSD at 0.05		0.98	2.43	139.4	2.96	1.13

Source: AL-Suhaibani N.A., 2009.

Husain et al. (1988b) found that irrigation had little effect on faba bean growth in New Zealand when precipitation was adequate early in the growing season. Study indicated that farmers can reduce the need for irrigation by planting the crop later in the year when temperatures are cooler and winter rains

are more reliable. In fact, with sufficient soil moisture available at the beginning of the season, it appears that the water requirements for growing faba bean can be adequately met by winter precipitation in central California, particularly when rainfall is above normal. Ouda et al. (2010) found that irrigating most of legumes with 80% of full fresh or drainage water could reduce yield by 7%. However, reschedule irrigation and applying 3156 and 3366 m<sup>3</sup>/ha of fresh and drainage water, respectively reduced yield losses to less than 1%. These findings implied that avoiding sensitive growth stages to water stress in faba bean could help in saving an ample amount of irrigation water.

## **7.2 Biochemical composition**

Grain legumes are in general susceptible to water logging. Laser leveled bays are suitable if well drained. If drainage is not good, then beds should be considered. Irrigation times should be kept as short as possible as water logging will cause temporary growth reductions and will affect yield. Drainage is a combination of surface and internal drainage. Surface drainage can be improved with large capacity drains with good outfall, laser-levelled and smoothed bays and beds or spinner cuts. Internal drainage is related to soil structure and can be improved with gypsum where appropriate, and with pasture rotations. Minimizing cultivation, particularly of dry soil, can help to preserve soil structure and internal drainage. If drainage is less than ideal, rains following irrigation can lead to prolonged water logging and subsequently reduce yield. Pre-irrigation and sowing into moisture is a strategy successfully employed on many farms. The alternative is to rely on rainfall. Dry sowing can be successful by ensuring that the earliest rain is used to germinate the crop. The irrigation during flowering should not be too late as this may hasten the end of flowering and severely affect yield. If water is available it should be planned in such a way that plant remains free from water stress (Tewati and Virk 1996).

## **8. Water productivity:**

The term water productivity is used to denote the amount of value of product over volume or value of water depleted or diverted or used. The value of product may be expressed in terms of biomass, grain or money. Crop water productivity may be computed during crop period considering the production or value of production from crops and water used during the period (either on the basis of irrigation water or total water used including rainfall). At the field level agronomists evaluate the productivity of water through water use efficiency, the ratio of yield to water consumed (kg/m<sup>3</sup>) by the crop through evapotranspiration (Doorenbos and Kassam 1979; Kinje et al., 2003) or as the yield per unit depth of water per area kg/ha/mm (Gregory 1989). Loss et al., reported that under Mediterranean-type environments, WUE for dry matter production and seed yield from early sown most of legumes (up to 36 and 14 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> mm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were equivalent to cereals and greater than those for other grain legumes. Irrigation water-use efficiency was highest when plants were irrigated at 50% Etc as observed by Bryla et al. (2003) in subsurface drip irrigated most of legumes in California. Under deficit irrigation in Egypt, water productivity value was 1.14 and 1.21 kg/m<sup>3</sup> under the application of

3156 and 3366 m<sup>3</sup>/ha of fresh and drainage water, respectively as reported by Ouda et al., 2010. WUE generally increased with supplemental irrigation (SI), up to the 2/3 SI level, and then decreased (except for early sowing) beyond that. Under rainfed conditions, early sowing resulted in the highest water use efficiency as reported from Syria by Oweis et al., 2005. The normal sowing date (Mid December) resulted in the maximum water productivity. Late sowing steadily resulted in the lowest water use efficiency under all levels of water availability.

## 9. Summary and Conclusion

We have achieved impressive economical and agricultural growth, but still incidences of hunger, malnutrition and poverty are unacceptably high, thanks our policy planner to implementation of The National Food Security Bill (NFSB) though by ordinance to provide food to poor's. Expectations of impending climates specify immense modifications in temperature, rainfall pattern, humidity and soil moisture regimes. Changes in climate not only influence the entire cropping system but also affect the performance of cultivars of different field crops including legumes. There is pressure on food legume to maintain or even to be higher yielding under the expected climate changes. Apart from other technologies and inputs like improved genotype with respect to improved resistance to multiple biotic stresses, water management will certainly going to be very crucial due multiple abiotic stresses.

## Reference

- Abraham Blum. 2004. The Mitigation of drought stress, plant stress.com;-Henry T. Nguyen, Abraham Blum (Ed.), Physiology and Biotechnology Integration for Plant Breeding.
- Ageeb, O.A.A, F.A. Salih and M.A.Ali. 1989. The effect of sowing date, watering interval and intercropping with sorghum and maize on the yield of faba bean. *FABIS-Newsletter*. **24**: 8-10.
- Ali M 2008. Technological Approaches for increasing pulse production in India. In Proceedings of National Symposium on Technological Innovation for Resource Starved Farmers in Global Perspective, CSAUA&T Kanpur 28-30 April.
- Ali M and Gupta S. 2012. Carrying capacity of Indian agriculture: pulse crops. *Cur. Sci.* 102, 874-881.
- Ali R I, Awan T H, Ahmad M M, Saleem U and Akhtar M. 2012. Diversification of rice-based cropping systems to improve soil fertility, sustainable productivity and economics. *J. Animal and Plant Sciences*. 22, 108-112.
- Ali, A.E., G.E.E. Ahmed and E.B. El-Handallou. 1982. Faba bean and their role in diets in Sudan. In: Hawtin, G. and C. Webb (eds.), *Faba Bean Improvement*, pp: 317-8. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, The Hague AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists), 1984. *Official Methods of Analysis*, 14th Ed. Washington, DC, USA.
- Al-Suhaibani N.A. 2009. Influence of Early Water Deficit on Seed Yield and Quality of Most of legumes under Arid Environment of Saudi Arabia. *American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci.*, **5**: 649-654, 2009.
- Anonymous. 2011. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance 2011, Department of economics and statistics, department of agriculture and cooperation, Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India.
- Arshad M and Frankenberger Jr W T .1998. Plant growth regulating substances in the rhizosphere: Microbial Production and Functions. *Adv. Agron*, 62, 46-151.

- Ashton F M and Monaca T J.1991. Weed Science: Principle and Practices.3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. John Wiley & Sons. Inc., New York, 342pp.
- Audus L J .1977. Plant Growth Substances: Chemistry and Physiology. Leonard Hill, London, Vol. I, 240pp.
- Bartel B .1997. Auxin Biosynthesis. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 48, 49-64.
- Cerning, J., A. Saposnik and A. Guilbot.1975. Carbohydrate composition of horsbeans (*Vicia faba*) of different origins. In: Hebblethwaite, P.D. (ed.): 159–80. *The Most of legums* . Butterworths, London.
- Chand, R. 2009. Demand for food grains during the 11 Plan and towards 2020. Policy Brief No. 28.National Centre for Agricultural Economics and Policy Research (pp. 1-4). New Delhi.
- Chand,R., & Singh, R. B. 2012. Major issues in Agriculture Sector for the Twelfth Plan. NAAS News, 12(1), 6-11.
- Chaudhary, J.N., Singh, K.M. and Singh, R.K.P.. 1990. Pulses production in Bihar- An empirical analysis. *Agricultural Situation in India*, May 1990:113-119.
- Cooper, P.J.M. 1991. Fertilizer use, crop growth, water use and WUE in Mediterranean rainfed farming systems. Pp 135-152 in: H.C. Harris, P.J.M. Cooper and M. Pala (Eds.), Soil and crop management for improved water use efficiency in rainfed areas. Proceedings of an International Workshop, Ankara, Turkey, May 1989. Aleppo, Syria: ICARDA.
- David R. Bryla Æ Gary S. Bañ uelos Æ Jeff P. Mitchell. 2003. Water requirements of subsurface drip-irrigated most of legums in California. *Irrigation Sciences* . **22**: 31–37.
- Doorenbos, J; and A.H.Kassam.1979. Yield response to watyer. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 33, FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Doorenbos, J; and A.H.Kassam.1979. Yield response to watyer. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 33, FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Farah, S.M.; El Fakki, H; Gorashi, A.M; Ali, A.E. 1990. Faba bean water management at New Halfa [Sudan]. *Agricultural water management*. ISSN 0378-3774.
- Farah, S.M.; El Fakki, H; Gorashi, A.M; Ali, A.E. 1990. Most of legums water management at New Halfa [Sudan]. *Agricultural water management*. ISSN 0378-3774.
- GoI 2012. Data Base on Indian Agriculture, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation. Ministry of Agriculture, Retrieved from <http://dacnet.nic.in>.
- Golezani, K.G., Ghanehpoor, S., Mohammadi-Nasab, A.D. 2009. Effects of water limitation on growth and grain filling of most of legumes cultivars. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment* **7**: 442–447.
- Gregory, P. J. 1989. Water-use efficiency of crops in the semiarid tropics. In: *Soil, Crop and WaterManagement in the Sudano-Sahelian Zone*. ICRISAT, Patancheru, India, pp. 85–98.
- Hebblethwaite, P.D., Ingram, J., Scott, R.K. and Elliot, J. 1977. Some factors influencing yield variation of field beans (*Vicia faba* L.). Proceedings of the Symposium on the Production, Processing and Utilization of the Field Beans (*Vicia faba* L.) (Thompson, R., Ed.). Bulletin No. 15, Scottish Horticultural Research Institute, Invergowrie, U.K. pp.20-27.
- Husain MM, Hill GD, Gallagher JN. 1988. The response of field beans (*Vicia faba* L.) to irrigation and sowing date. 2. Growth and development in relation to yield. *Journal of Agriculture Sciences*. **111**:233–254.
- IPCC, 2007. Climate Change 2007: Mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. B. Metz, O.R. Davidson, P.R. Bosch, R. Dave, L.A. Meyer (eds), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

- Joshi P K .1998. Performance of grain legumes in the indo-gangetic plain in residual effects of legumes in rice and wheat cropping systems of the indo-gangetic plain. In Legumes in rice and wheat cropping systems of the indo-gangetic plain. Eds Kumarrao J V D K, Johansen C and Rego T J. ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh pp. 3-13.
- Joshi, P K. 2009. Prices and Market Intervention in Pulses., paper presented in Brainstorming workshop on Issues and Strategies for Increasing Productivity and Production of Pulses in India, organised by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research and Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi, 9-10 June.
- Keller, A.; Sakthivadivel, R.; Seckler, D. 2000. 'Water scarcity and the role of storage in development' IWMI research report 39, IWMI: Colombo.
- Kelly, J.F. 1973. Increasing Protein Quantity and Quality. *In*: Milner, M. (ed.), Nutritional Improvement of Food Legumes by Breeding, p. 179. The Protein Advisory Group of the United Nations System, New York, USA.
- Kinje, Jacob; R.Barker, and D. Molden. 2003. Improving Water Productivity in Agriculture: Editors' Overview, in Jacob Kinje and others (Eds.) Water Productivity in Agriculture: Limits and Opportunities for improvement, Comprehensive Assessment of Water Management in Agriculture. UK: CABI Publishing in Association with International Water Management Institute.
- Loss, S.P., Siddique, K.H.M. and Tennanta, D. 1997. Adaptation of most of legumes (*Vicia faba* L.) to dryland Mediterranean-type environments III. *Field Crops Research*. **52**:17-28.
- Mitchell Andrews and Simon Hodge. 2010. Legumes Cultivars for Stress Environments: In Climate Change and Management of Cool Season Grain Legume Crops eds. SS Yadav and Robert Redden. pp 243-281.
- Muhammad A I, Muhammad K, Sher Muhammad, Shahzad M A, Nawaf S and Naeem A 2012 Integrated use of *Rhizobium leguminosarum*, plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and enriched compost for improving growth, nodulation and yield of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*. 72,104-110.
- Musallam I W., AL-Karaki G N., Ereifej K. and Tawaha M. 2004. Chemical Composition of Most of legums Genotypes under Rainfed and Irrigation Conditions. *International journal of Agriculture Biology*.6: 2-6.
- Mushari A. Al-Naeem. 2008. Effect of Irrigation Scheduling on Growth Parameters and Water Use Efficiency of Barely and Most of legumes Crops in Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia. *American Journal of Plant Physiology*. **3**: 111-120.
- Nadarajan, N., and Gupta. S. 2010. Scenario of pulses production in India. Agriwatch. pp. 26-27.
- Narasinga Rao, B. S. 2010. Nutrient requirement and safe dietary intake for Indians. NFI Bull., 31, 1-8.
- Pala M. and C. Studer. 1999. Cropping systems management for improved water use efficiency in dryland agriculture. Paper presented at the International Conference on: Water Resources Conservation and Management in Dry Areas. 3-6, December 1999. Amman, Jordan.
- Rai, J.N., Singh, K.M. and Shahi, B.. 1992. Lentil in paira cropping system-An Agro-economic Study. *Indian Farmer Times*, 10 (3): 15-17.
- Ramakrishna A, Gowda C L L and Johansen C .2000. Management factors affecting legumes production in the indo-gangetic plain. In Legumes in rice and wheat cropping systems of the Indo-Gangetic plain - constraints and opportunities. Eds Johansen C, Duxbury J M, Virmani S M, Gowda C L L. pp. 156-165. ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh.
- Reddy A A. 2009. Pulses Production Technology: Status and way forward. *Economic and Political Weekly*.44, 73-80.

- Reddy A A and Reddy G P. 2010. Supply side constraints in production of pulses in India: A case study of lentil. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*. 23, 129-136.
- Reddy, A. A.2004. Consumption Pattern, Trade and Production Potential of Pulses. *Economic & Political Weekly*, XL (48), 54-60.
- Reddy, A. A.2009. Pulses Production Technology: Status and Way Forward. *Economic & Political Weekly*, XLIV (52), 73-80.
- Reid D E, Ferguson B J, Hayashi S, Lin Y H and Gresshoff P M 2011 Molecular mechanisms controlling legume autoregulation of nodulation. *Annals of Botany*. 108,789-795.
- Salih, F.A. and S.B. El Hardallou. 1986. The yield performance and proximate analysis of twelve cultivars of most of legums grown at five sites in the northern region of the Sudan. *FABIS*, 15: 52-55.
- Samiha A. Ouda, Mouhamed A.Shreif and Rashad Abou Elenin. 2010. Increasing water productivity of most of legums grown under deficit irrigation at middle Egypt.14<sup>th</sup> International Water Technology Conference, IWTC 14, 2010, Cairo, Egypt.
- Saxena, M.C., Silim, S.N. and Matar, A. 1991.Agronomic management of most of legumes for high yields, *Options Mediterraneans* 10: 91-96.
- Singh AK and Kumar Pravesh.2009. Nutrient management in rainfed dryland agro ecosystem in the impending climate change scenario. *Agril. Situ. India*. LXVI (5): 265-270.
- Singh AK, Bhatt BP and Singh D. 2013. Efficient cultural practices – curbing plant disease in the climate change era. *Indian Farming* 63(1) 6-11.
- Singh AK, Manibhushan, Bhatt BP, Singh KM and Upadhyaya A.2013.An Analysis of Oilseeds and Pulses Scenario in Eastern India during 2050-51. *Journal of Agril. Sci.*5 (1) 241- 249. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jas.v5n1p241>
- Singh AK, Manibhushan, Chandra Naresh and Bharati RC. 2008. Suitable crop varieties for limited irrigated conditions in different agro climatic zones of India. *Int. J. Trop. Agri.* 26 (3-4): 491-496.
- Singh AK, PK Sundaram and Verma Nidhi. 2012. Harnessing production potential of dry land agriculture through efficient nutrient management (ENM) under climate change condition. *Prog Agri.* 12 (2):229-240.
- Singh AK. 2012. Water management : Priorities and Possibilities of Investment for Accelerated and Stabilizing Agricultural Growth in Bihar, Patna. National Conference on Priorities and Possibilities of Investment for Accelerated and Stabilizing Agricultural Growth in Bihar, Saturday, 17 March 2012, Patna 17th March, 2012.pp.12-14.
- Singh, A. K., Sangle, U. R., & Bhatt, B. P. 2012a. Mitigation of imminent climate change and enhancement of agricultural system productivity through efficient carbon sequestration and improved production technologies. *Indian Farming*, 61(10), 5-9.
- Singh, A. K., Singh, D., Singh, A. K., Gade, R. M., & Sangle, U. R.2012b. Good Agronomic Practices (GAP)-An efficient and eco-friendly tool for sustainable management of plant diseases under changing climate scenario. *J. of Plant Disease Sci.*, 7(1), 1-8.
- Singh, K.M. and Singh, R.K.P.. 1995. An Economic Analysis of Lentil Cultivation in N.E. Alluvial Plains of Bihar. *Economic Affairs*, 40 (3): 157-163.
- Singh, K.M., Chaudhary, J.N. and Singh, R.K.P.. 1995. Lentil Production in Bihar-An Econometric Analysis. *Agricultural Situation in India*, 50 (2): 73-79.
- Singh,K.M., Chaudhary, J.N. and Singh, R.K.P. 1993. An Analysis of Compound Growth Rates and Factors Affecting Area, Production and Productivity of Gram in Bihar. *Agricultural Situation in India*, Feb,1993: 841-846.



- Singh, RB. 2013. Climate Smart Agriculture towards an Ever-Green Economy NAAS, India 34p.
- Stock HG, El-Naggar ES.1980.Untersuchungen zur Ermittlung des optimalen Beregnungsregimes für Ackerbohnen. *Arch Acker-Pflanzenbau Bodenkd.* **24**: 665–672.
- Tewatia, B.S., and A.S. Virk.1996. Nutritional potential of faba bean for improved productivity in ruminants/ A Review. *FABIS*, 38/39: 2–11.
- Theib Oweis, Ahmed Hachum and Mustafa Pala. 2005. Faba bean productivity under rainfed and supplemental irrigation in northern Syria. *Agricultural Water Management.* **73**: 57-72.
- Wani, S., Pathak, P., Sreedevi, T., Singh, H., and Singh, P. 2003. Efficient management of rainwater for increased crop productivity and groundwater recharge in Asia', in Water productivity in agriculture: Limits and opportunities for improvement, Kijne, J.W.; Barker, R.; and Molden, D. (Eds). Wallingford: CAB International: 199-215.